**PASJ2014-SAOM05** 

# J-PARC MR におけるイントラバンチフィードバックシステムによるビーム振動の安定化

## STABILIZATION OF BEAM INSTABILITIES BY INTRA-BUNCH FEEDBACK SYSTEM AT J-PARC MR

陳 栄浩 <sup>A</sup>), 帯名 崇 <sup>A</sup>), 岡田 雅之 <sup>A</sup>), 飛山 真理 <sup>A</sup>), 外山 毅 <sup>A</sup>), 仲村 佳悟 <sup>B</sup>), 菖蒲田 義博 <sup>C</sup>)

Yong Ho Chin<sup>#, A)</sup>, Takashi Obina <sup>A)</sup>, Masashi Okada <sup>A)</sup>, Makoto Tobiyama <sup>A)</sup>, Takeshi. Toyama <sup>A)</sup>,

Keigo Nakamura <sup>B)</sup>, Yoshihiro Shobuda <sup>C)</sup>

A) High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Ibaraki, Japan

B) Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

C) Japan Atomic Energy Agency (JAEA), Ibaraki, Japan

#### Abstract

A new broadband (~100MHz) feedback system has been developed for suppression of intra-bunch oscillations and reduction of particle losses at the J-PARC Main Ring (MR). A new BPM has been designed and fabricated, based on Linnecar's exponential coupler stripline type, for a flatter and wider frequency response. The design and performance of the new BPM as well as preparation of a newly installed exciter and power amplifier is presented. We also report beam test results of suppression of horizontal intra-bunch oscillations at 3 GeV with the bunch length of 150-200 ns.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The J-PARC is composed of three proton accelerators: the 400MeV linear accelerator (LINAC), the 3 GeV Rapid Cycling Synchrotron (RCS), and the Main Ring (MR) Synchrotron. The main parameters are listed in Table 1. At the J-PARC MR, transverse instabilities have been observed during the injection and at the onset of the acceleration. The present narrowband bunch-by-bunch feedback system (BxB FB) is effectively suppressing these transverse dipole oscillations, allowing to attaining the 230 kW beam power [1]. But the BxB feedback system can damp only the center of mass motions of the whole bunches. Even with the BxB feedback system on, internal bunch oscillations have been still observed, which is causing additional particle losses [2]. To suppress intra-bunch oscillations, a more wideband and elaborate feedback system (named the intra-bunch feedback system) has been developed.

## 2. INTRA-BUNCH FEEDBACK SYSTEM

Figure 1 shows the schematic of the new intra-bunch feedback system. It is composed mainly of three components: a BPM, a signal processing circuit (iGp12) and kickers. It divides each bucket to 64 slices and acts on each slice as if it is a small bunch (bunch-let) in a narrow band feedback system. The signal processing circuit detects betatron oscillation of each bunch slice using signals from the BPM and calculates feedback signals. These feedback signals are sent to the kickers through the power amplifiers. The new system and its set-up are similar to those of the current BxB feedback system [3]. The main improvement is that each component now has a

(64 times) wider frequency sensitivity than the one for the BxB feedback system. The intra-bunch feedback system has been installed at the D3 building, while the current BxB feedback system is still operational at the D1 building.

| Fable 1: Main Parameters o | of J-PARC Main |
|----------------------------|----------------|
|----------------------------|----------------|

| Circumference             | 1568m         |
|---------------------------|---------------|
| Injection Energy          | 3GeV          |
| Extraction Energy         | 30GeV         |
| Repetition Period         | 2.48s         |
| RF Frequency              | 1.67-1.72 MHz |
| Number of Bunches         | 8             |
| Synchrotron Tune          | 0.002-0.0001  |
| Betatron Tune (Hor./Ver.) | 22.41/20.75   |



Figure 1: Schematic of the intra-bunch feedback system.

## PASJ2014-SAOM05

## 2.1 BPM

The new stripline BPM has been designed and fabricated based on Linnecar's electrode design [4] (see Fig. 2). It is equipped with the exponentially tapered electrodes which, in principle, allow a flatter and wider frequency response (the green line in Fig.3) than the conventional rectangular ones (the blue line in Fig.3). The diameter of the beam pipe is 147mm, and the length of the electrodes is 300mm. The electrodes are placed 67mm from the center of the beam pipe. The height of the electrodes from the chamber surface needs to be gradually reduced (proportional to its width) toward their tips for the impedance matching. The BPM characteristics were measured by the stretched wire method. The measured frequency response is shown by the red line in Fig.3. It can be seen that the new BPM has a good frequency response up to 1GHz. The position sensitivity is also measured and it is found to be fluctuating around 0.027 by 0.002.





Figure 2: Side (top) and front (bottom) views of BPM.



Figure 3: Theoretical and measured frequency responses of BPMs.

### 2.2 Stripline Kickers and Power Amplifiers

The new stripline kickers were also fabricated (see Fig. 4). The electrodes are 750mm long and they are put on the circle of the diameter 140mm. They are coated with the Diamond Like Carbon (DLC) to suppress the multipactoring effect, which may be serious when the kickers are used for slow extraction. The power amplifiers have 3kW capability. Their bandwidth is 100kHz-100MHz, which limits the bandwidth of the whole system now. The kick angle at 3 GeV when used with the two 3kW amplifiers is estimated to be 3.5µrad at DC.



Figure 4: The front view of the horizontal stripline kicker.

#### 2.3 Signal Processing by iGp12

We adopt the iGp12 signal processing module developed by Dimtel Inc. [5]. It samples BPM signals (through the hybrid coupler) at the rate of 64th harmonic of the RF frequency (~100MHz). It divides each RF bucket into 64 bins (slices). It extracts betatron oscillation signals by using the n-tap FIR (n≤16, n=8 is used) filter on each slice, and feedbacks kick signals to each slice. As seen in Fig.3, the frequency characteristic of the BPM is approximately linear in the low frequency region (up to 200MHz). Thus, the beam position can be reconstructed by integrating the differentiated signals from the BPM.

## 3. BEAM TESTS AT 3 GEV DC MODE

#### 3.1 Beam Conditions

The first beam test was done in May 2014 with a single bunch of  $2.7 \times 10^{12}$  ppp at the energy of 3GeV. This beam intensity is 1/5 of the maximum intensity of MR at present. The main beam parameters are as follows: the bunch lenght is 150-200ns, the chromaticities are  $\xi_x$ =+0.5 and  $\xi_y$ =+1.2, and the synchrotron tune is v<sub>s</sub>=1.7×10<sup>-3</sup>. First, we applied the intra-bunch feedback system to the horizontal oscillations at the injection, while the vertical BxB FB system is always turned on (when it is tuned off, a large amount of particle losses prevents the testing).

#### 3.2 Reduction of Horizontal Oscillations

Figure 5 shows the 3-D view of the time evolutions of the oscillation amplitude of the horizontal dipole moment in the following three conditions: (top) both the intrabunch and the BxB FB systems are off, (middle) only the BxB FB system is on, and (bottom) only the intra-bunch FB system is on. The X-and Y-axes show the oscillation amplitudes of the bunch-slices and the revolution turn, respectively. The large horizontal oscillations are excited around the 262th turn due to the mismatching field of the injection kicker magnets [6]. The horizontal oscillation decays even without the feedback systems, indicating that it is not instability. It can be clearly seen that the intrabunch FB system damps the horizontal oscillations much quicker than the BxB FB system.

Title: RUN54\_2014-05-07-13-36-33 3GeV DC H:BxB Off IB Off, V:BxB On



Title: RUN54\_2014-05-07-14-27-45 3GeV DC H:BxB On IB Off, V:BxB On



Title: RUN54\_2014-05-07-14-10-10 3GeV DC H:BxB Off IB On, V:BxB On



Figure 5: Time evolutions of the horizontal dipole moment after excited at 262<sup>th</sup> turn. (Top) Both the intrabunch and BxB FB systems are off. (Middle) Only the BxB FB system is on. (Bottom) Only the intra-bunch FB system is on.

The slow change of the dipole moment amplitude (300 turns) is due to the change in the longitudinal profile of the bunch, not the horizontal oscillation amplitude itself. A possible cause of this longitudinal profile change is a quadrupole oscillation of the bunch in the longitudinal phase space due to mismatching between the bunch and the bucket shapes.

Figure 6 shows Fourier transforms of the horizontal oscillations of the bunch slices shown in Fig. 5: (top) all FB systems off, (middle) only BxB FB system on, and (bottom) the only intra-bunch FB system on. The Y-axis is the frequency. Even when the BxB FB system is on, the betatron sidebands are clearly visible at around 76kHz. But, they disappear when the intra-bunch FB system is turned on.





Title: RUN54\_2014-05-07-14-27-45 3GeV DC H:BxB On IB Off, V:BxB On



Title: RUN54\_2014-05-07-14-10-10 3GeV DC H:BxB Off IB On, V:BxB On



Figure 6: FFT of horizontal dipole moment amplitude.

## PASJ2014-SAOM05

Figure 7 shows the evolution of the bunch signals at every 100 turns after the large perturbation at the 262th turn. In each plot, signals are superimposed on 10 consecutive turns. It can be seen in the bottom figure that the intra-bunch oscillations are almost completely damped by the intra-bunch FB system after the first 100 turns.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

The first beam test successfully demonstrates that the new intra-bunch FB system is quite effective to suppress intra-bunch oscillations. However, the horizontal oscillations presented in this paper are merely incoherent oscillations caused by the kicker mismatching field. More serious instabilities and resulting particle losses are observed on the vertical plane during the injection and at the onset of acceleration (when  $\xi_v = -0.3$ ). They can be, however, suppressed by setting the vertical chromaticity to  $\xi_v$ =-3.2, although a smaller (slightly negative) vertical chromaticity is preferable for operational purposes. Preliminary beam tests on the vertical instabilities suggest that the new intra-bunch feedback system helps in stabilizing the beam against occasional bursts of large particle losses. More testing and tuning of the system are under way. The intra-bunch FB system is now used in routine operation. The beam loss at the injection is reduced from 350W to 170W [6].

#### 5. REFERENCES

- Y. Kurimoto et al., "Bunch by bunch feedback system in J-PARC MR", DIPAC2011, Hamburg, May 2011, p482 (2011).
- [2] Y. H. Chin et al., "Analysis of transverse instabilities observed at J-PARC MR and their suppression using feedback systems" NA-PAC2013, Pasadena, September-October 2013, p.27 (2013).
- [3] O. Konstantinova et al., "Bunch by bunch intra-bunch feedback system for curing transverse beam instabilities at the J-PARC MR", IPAC2013, Shanghai, May 2013, p.1739 (2013).
- [4] T. Linnecar, "The high frequency longitudinal and transverse pick-ups used in the SPS", CERN-SPS/ARF/78-17 (1978).
- [5] Dimtel, Inc., San Jose, USA, http://www.dimtel.com
- [6] T. Sugimoto et al., "Upgrade of the injection kicker system for J-PARC Main Ring", IPAC14, Dresden, June 2014, p.526 (2014).



Figure 7: Time evolution of the bunch signals at every 100 turns after the large perturbation at the 262th turn. In each plot, signals are superimposed on 10 consecutive turns. (Top) Both the intra-bunch and the BxB FB systems are off. (Middle) Only the BxB FB system is on. (Bottom) Only the intra-bunch FB system is on. The 1st row corresponds to 262th turn, the 2nd row to 362th turn, the 3rd row to 462th turn, and the 4th row to 562th turn, respectively.